

Wilcoxon test via GPC

Brice Ozenne

December 22, 2021

1 Single Wilcoxon test

Generalized Pairwise comparisons include the Wilcoxon rank sum test as a specific case. Consider the following dataset (from the example section of `stats::wilcox.test`):

```
x <- c(1.83, 0.50, 1.62, 2.48, 1.68, 1.88, 1.55, 3.06, 1.30)
y <- c(0.878, 0.647, 0.598, 2.05, 1.06, 1.29, 1.06, 3.14, 1.29)
df <- rbind(data.frame(value = x, group="x"),
            data.frame(value = y, group="y"))
```

We can perform a Wilcoxon test using the `wilcox.test` function:

```
wilcox.test(value ~ group, data = df)
```

Wilcoxon rank sum test with continuity correction

```
data: value by group
W = 58, p-value = 0.1329
alternative hypothesis: true location shift is not equal to 0
```

```
Warning message:
In wilcox.test.default(x = c(1.83, 0.5, 1.62, 2.48, 1.68, 1.88, 1.55, 3.06, 1.30), y = c(0.878, 0.647, 0.598, 2.05, 1.06, 1.29, 1.06, 3.14, 1.29)) :
cannot compute exact p-value with ties
```

It unfortunately does not output any effect size (just the test statistic and corresponding p-value). The package *asht* contains an alternative implementation:

```
library(asht)
wmwTest(value ~ group, data = df, method = "asymptotic")
```

Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test with continuity correction (confidence interval requires proportional odds assumption, but test does not)

```
data: value by group
Mann-Whitney estimate = 0.28395, tie factor = 0.99794, p-value = 0.1329
alternative hypothesis: two distributions are not equal
```


```
95 percent confidence interval:
 0.1142978 0.5614097
sample estimates:
Mann-Whitney estimate
 0.2839506
```

which does output an estimate¹. It matches exactly the p.value and is based on an asymptotic result. It is also possible to get an exact p-value²:

```
wmwTest(value ~ group, data = df, method = "exact.ce")
```

```
exact Wilcoxon-Man-Whitney test (confidence interval requires proportional odds
assumption, but test does not)
```

```
data: value by group
Mann-Whitney estimate = 0.28395, p-value = 0.1299
alternative hypothesis: two distributions are not equal
95 percent confidence interval:
 0.09721823 0.56323417
sample estimates:
Mann-Whitney estimate
 0.2839506
```

To match those results with GPC we can use a permutation test ( remember to add the argument `add.halfNeutral` to `TRUE` to handle ties the same way as the previous tests):

```
eperm.BT <- BuyseTest(group ~ cont(value), data = df, add.halfNeutral = TRUE,
  method.inference = "permutation", n.resampling = 10000,
  trace = FALSE, seed = 10)
confint(eperm.BT, statistic = "favorable")
```

```
estimate      se lower.ci upper.ci null  p.value
value 0.2839506 0.140185 0.1050267 0.5725376 0.5 0.1242876
```

Warning message:

```
In .local(object, ...) :
```

```
Confidence intervals are computed under the null hypothesis and therefore may not be valid.
```

The estimate is precisely the same and the p-value approximately the same. Instead of permutation, we could use the asymptotic theory to obtain p-values and (valid) confidence intervals:

```
BuyseTest.options(order.Hprojection=2)
eU.BT <- BuyseTest(group ~ cont(value), data = df,
  method.inference = "u-statistic",
  add.halfNeutral = TRUE, trace = FALSE)
confint(eU.BT, statistic = "favorable")
```

¹Mann-Whitney parameter, i.e. probability that a randomly chosen observation from one group has higher value than a randomly chosen observation from the other group

²this is only feasible in small samples - otherwise the procedure becomes computationally challenging

```

      estimate      se  lower.ci  upper.ci null  p.value
value 0.2839506 0.1401461 0.09313769 0.6049215  0.5 0.1796262

```

Unsuprisingly, we get the same estimate. However the p-value seems quite a bit different. This might be explained by the fact that this approach does not assume iid³ observations but only iid observations within each group. A studentised permutation, which is exactly (instead of asymptotically) valid under the same assumption, gives a somewhat similar p-value:

```

etperm.BT <- BuyseTest(group ~ cont(value), data = df, add.halfNeutral = TRUE,
  method.inference = "studentized permutation", n.resampling = 10000,
  trace = FALSE, seed = 10)
confint(etperm.BT, statistic = "favorable")

```

```

      estimate      se  lower.ci  upper.ci null p.value
value 0.2839506 0.1401461 0.1006681 0.5809142  0.5  0.163

```

Warning message:

In .local(object, ...) :

Confidence intervals are computed under the null hypothesis and therefore may not be valid.

2 Multiple Wilcoxon tests

Consider now the case where we would like to compare one reference group (here strata a) to multiple treatment groups (here strata b,c,d,e). We will consider the following dataset:

```

set.seed(35)
dt <- simBuyseTest(n.T=25, n.strata = 5)
dt$id <- paste0("id",1:NROW(dt))
dt$strata <- as.character(dt$strata)
head(dt)

```

	treatment	eventtime	status	toxicity	score	strata	id
1:	C	0.03384999	1	yes	0.4777913	b	id1
2:	C	0.65039474	0	no	-1.1048190	d	id2
3:	C	1.00647502	1	no	-0.1407630	b	id3
4:	C	0.01129603	1	yes	-0.5512507	a	id4
5:	C	0.22249748	1	no	1.0465250	d	id5
6:	C	0.07400412	0	no	-2.0053855	d	id6

³iid=independent and identically distributed

We can apply the GPC procedure to each pair of group:

```
BuyseTest.options(order.Hprojection=1);BuyseTest.options(trace=0)

ls.BT <- list("b-a=0" = BuyseTest(strata ~ cont(score), add.halfNeutral = TRUE,
  data = dt[dt$strata %in% c("a","b"),]),
  "c-a=0" = BuyseTest(strata ~ cont(score), add.halfNeutral = TRUE,
  data = dt[dt$strata %in% c("a","c"),]),
  "d-a=0" = BuyseTest(strata ~ cont(score), add.halfNeutral = TRUE,
  data = dt[dt$strata %in% c("a","d"),]),
  "e-a=0" = BuyseTest(strata ~ cont(score), add.halfNeutral = TRUE,
  data = dt[dt$strata %in% c("a","e"),])
)

M.confint <- do.call(rbind,lapply(ls.BT,confint, statistic = "favorable"))
cbind(M.confint,adj.p.value = p.adjust(M.confint[, "p.value"], method = "bonferroni"))
```

	estimate	se	lower.ci	upper.ci	null	p.value	adj.p.value
b-a=0	0.4090909	0.1542200	0.1654639	0.7073759	0.5	0.56434599	1.0000000
c-a=0	0.4375000	0.1465755	0.1948678	0.7142379	0.5	0.67306460	1.0000000
d-a=0	0.2500000	0.1010153	0.1039078	0.4893302	0.5	0.04143057	0.1657223
e-a=0	0.3333333	0.1360828	0.1308601	0.6241219	0.5	0.25767454	1.0000000

Because we compare the treatment groups to the same reference, the test statistics are correlated and a Bonferroni adjustment would not be optimal. A better (but still not optimal adjustment) is the max-test adjustment which can be obtained via the `BuyseMultComp` function:

```
e.mc <- BuyseMultComp(ls.BT, statistic = "favorable", cluster = "id", global = TRUE)
print(e.mc, cols = c("estimate","se","p.value","adj.p.value"))
```

```
- Multivariate test: p.value = 0.2645493 (df = 4)
- Univariate tests:
```

	estimate	se	p.value	adj.p.value
b-a=0	0.4090909	0.1542200	0.56434599	0.9289219
c-a=0	0.4375000	0.1465755	0.67306460	0.9752151
d-a=0	0.2500000	0.1010153	0.04143057	0.1223430
e-a=0	0.3333333	0.1360828	0.25767454	0.5831344

Here the smallest p-value has been multiplied by a factor 2.64 instead of 4. This is thanks to the rather strong correlation between the test statistics:

```
M.cor <- cov2cor(crossprod(e.mc$id))
dimnames(M.cor) <- list(names(ls.BT),names(ls.BT))
M.cor
```

	b-a=0	c-a=0	d-a=0	e-a=0
b-a=0	1.0000000	0.6519486	0.5601058	0.7520401
c-a=0	0.6519486	1.0000000	0.4240003	0.5439927
d-a=0	0.5601058	0.4240003	1.0000000	0.5051815
e-a=0	0.7520401	0.5439927	0.5051815	1.0000000